
Peer-Review Report

Peer Review of “Levels and Predictors of Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices Regarding Contraception Among Female TV Studies Undergraduates in Nigeria: Cross-Sectional Study”

Bilkisu Nwankwo, MBBS, MSc

Kaduna State University, Kaduna State, Nigeria

Related Articles:

Preprint (medRxiv): <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2024.01.01.24300698v1>

Authors' Response to Peer-Review Reports: <https://med.jmirx.org/2025/1/e72947>

Published Article: <https://med.jmirx.org/2025/1/e56135>

JMIRx Med 2025;6:e72951; doi: [10.2196/72951](https://doi.org/10.2196/72951)

Keywords: knowledge; attitudes; practice; contraception; regression; cross-sectional; females; students; Nigeria

This is the peer-review report for “Levels and Predictors of Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices Regarding Contraception Among Female TV Studies Undergraduates in Nigeria: Cross-Sectional Study.”

Round 1 Review

Specific Comments

Major Comments

1. The sampling technique used in this paper [1] should be more detailed than it is. Respondents were said to have been selected by balloting from the 6 levels. Was it equal allocation per level, or was it proportionate allocation considering that it is not likely that there were the same number of students in each level?

2. State the age ranges of a teenager and that of a young adult in your methodology that informed the categorization in the Results.

3. Living with a spouse and not living with a spouse was considered for marital status in your study as opposed to being single, married, etc. Clarify why this is so.

4. The public health implications of some of the findings were omitted in the Discussion. This should be included. Its importance cannot be overemphasized.

Minor Comments

5. Abstract: The last sentence in the Methods is hanging. Kindly complete it.

6. Grammatical issues: Tenses: Future and present tenses were used where past tense should have been used in the methodology (lines 12 and 28). Present tense was used in multiple places in the Discussion where past tense should have been used.

7. Reference list: In the Vancouver referencing style, the month of publication should not appear as it did in some references like 7, 11, and 12. The date accessed/cited was written in some and not in others like 9, 10, 13, and 16. Really old references like reference 24, which is 14 years old, should be replaced by more current ones.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

References

1. Agbo HA, Adeoye PA, Yilzung DR, Mangut JS, Ogbada PF. Levels and predictors of knowledge, attitudes, and practices regarding contraception among female TV studies undergraduates in Nigeria: cross-sectional study. *JMIRx Med*. 2025;6:e56135. [doi: [10.2196/56135](https://doi.org/10.2196/56135)]

Edited by Amy Schwartz; This is a non-peer-reviewed article; submitted 21.02.2025; accepted 21.02.2025; published 08.05.2025

Please cite as:

Nwankwo B

Peer Review of “Levels and Predictors of Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices Regarding Contraception Among Female TV Studies Undergraduates in Nigeria: Cross-Sectional Study”

JMIRx Med 2025;6:e72951

URL: <https://med.jmirx.org/2025/1/e72951>

doi: [10.2196/72951](https://doi.org/10.2196/72951)

© Bilkisu Nwankwo. Originally published in JMIRx Med (<https://med.jmirx.org>), 08.05.2025. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIRx Med, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on <https://med.jmirx.org/>, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.