
Authors' Response To Peer Reviews

Authors' Response to Peer Reviews of “The Impact of Rural Alimentation on the Motivation and Retention of Indigenous Community Health Workers in India: Qualitative Study”

Ajit Kerketta*, MHA; Raghavendra A N*, PhD

CHRIST (Deemed to be University), Bengaluru, India

*all authors contributed equally

Corresponding Author:

Ajit Kerketta, MHA
CHRIST (Deemed to be University)
Hosur Road, Bhavani Nagar
Bengaluru, 560029
India
Phone: 91 8867055238
Email: ajit.kerketta@res.christuniversity.in

Related Articles:

Preprint (medRxiv): <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2023.04.12.23288461v1>

Peer-Review Report by Sanjeev Kumar Thalari (Reviewer KA): <https://med.jmirx.org/2025/1/e70808>

Published Article: <https://med.jmirx.org/2025/1/e48346>

JMIRx Med 2025;6:e70059; doi: [10.2196/70059](https://doi.org/10.2196/70059)

Keywords: rural alimentation; community health workers; motivation; retention; rural health; rural nutrition; workforce

This is the authors' response to peer-review reports for “The Impact of Rural Alimentation on the Motivation and Retention of Indigenous Community Health Workers in India: Qualitative Study.”

Round 1 Review [1]

General Comments

This paper [2] has given the impression that the researcher has done thorough homework before starting the research and it is evident in the paper. Case methodology and thematic analysis are a few of the approaches that depict the quality of the paper. Overall, as a reviewer, it is my opinion that the research paper is of quality.

Specific Comments

1. A few more factors like government initiatives should be included in studying the impact on the motivation and retention of community health workers.

Response: Factors such as government initiatives and policies have been additionally incorporated into the Discussion section.

Major Comments

1. I feel that the analysis also can include education as a parameter.

2. The thematic analysis is one of the strengths of this research and is appreciated.

Response: Due to time constraints, education could not be included as a sample parameter.

Minor Comments

1. Common wording should be used in every section of the paper, like qualitative case research methodology and qualitative case research.

Response: The term “qualitative case research” has been consistently used throughout the study.

References

1. Kumar Thalari S. Peer review of "The Impact of Rural Alimentation on the Motivation and Retention of Indigenous Community Health Workers in India: Qualitative Study". JMIRx Med. 2025;6:e70808. [doi: [10.2196/70808](https://doi.org/10.2196/70808)]
 2. Kerketta A, A N R. The impact of rural alimentation on the motivation and retention of Indigenous community health workers in India: qualitative study. JMIRx Med. 2025;6:e48346. [doi: [10.2196/48346](https://doi.org/10.2196/48346)]
-

Edited by Amy Schwartz; This is a non-peer-reviewed article; submitted 13.12.2024; accepted 13.12.2024; published 23.01.2025

Please cite as:

Kerketta A, A N R

Authors' Response to Peer Reviews of "The Impact of Rural Alimentation on the Motivation and Retention of Indigenous Community Health Workers in India: Qualitative Study"

JMIRx Med 2025;6:e70059

URL: <https://med.jmirx.org/2025/1/e70059>

doi: [10.2196/70059](https://doi.org/10.2196/70059)

© Ajit Kerketta, Raghavendra A N. Originally published in JMIRx Med (<https://med.jmirx.org>), 23.01.2025. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIRx Med, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on <https://med.jmirx.org/>, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.