Peer-Review Report

Peer Review for "Checklist Approach to Developing and Implementing AI in Clinical Settings: Instrument Development Study"

Sai Saripalli, MSc

Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA, United States

Related Articles:

Preprint (medRxiv): <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2024.08.08.24311701v1</u> Authors' Response to Peer-Review Reports: <u>https://med.jmirx.org/2025/1/e69537</u> Published Article: <u>https://med.jmirx.org/2025/1/e65565</u>

JMIRx Med 2025;6:e69594; doi: 10.2196/69594

Keywords: artificial intelligence; machine learning; ML; algorithm; model; analytics; AI deployment; human-AI interaction; AI integration; checklist; clinical workflow; clinical setting; literature review

This is the peer-review report for "Checklist Approach to Developing and Implementing AI in Clinical Settings: Instrument Development Study."

Round 1 Review

General Comments

Using artificial intelligence (AI) to add social and domainspecific steps to clinical trials is innovative [1]. My only

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

References

1. Owoyemi A, Osuchukwu J, Salwei ME, Boyd A. Checklist approach to developing and implementing AI in clinical settings: instrument development study. JMIRx Med. 2025;6:e65565. [doi: 10.2196/65565]

Abbreviations

AI: artificial intelligence

Edited by Ching Nam Hang, Edward Meinert, Tiffany Leung; This is a non-peer-reviewed article; submitted 03.12.2024; accepted 03.12.2024; published 20.02.2025

<u>Please cite as:</u> Saripalli S Peer Review for "Checklist Approach to Developing and Implementing AI in Clinical Settings: Instrument Development Study" JMIRx Med 2025;6:e69594 URL: <u>https://med.jmirx.org/2025/1/e69594</u> doi: <u>10.2196/69594</u>

©Sai Saripalli. Originally published in JMIRx Med (<u>https://med.jmirx.org</u>), 20.02.2025. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>),

comment is whether the number of stages or the checklist changes if the shortlisted panelists change.

Specific Comments

Major Comments

1. I am unsure if having 38 (expert) panelists is good enough to have a robust framework.

which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIRx Med, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on <u>https://</u><u>med.jmirx.org/</u>, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.