Peer-Review Report

# Peer Review of "Dental Tissue Density in Healthy Children Based on Radiological Data: Retrospective Analysis"

## Shanmukha Gorthy, BDS, MDS

Drs Sudha & Nageswara Rao Siddhartha Institute of Dental Sciences, Chinoutpalli, India

### **Related Articles:**

Preprint (JMIR Preprints): <u>https://preprints.jmir.org/preprint/56759</u> Preprint (medrxiv): <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2024.01.11.24301001v1</u> Published Article: <u>https://med.jmirx.org/2024/1/e56759</u>

## JMIRx Med 2024;5:e60329; doi: 10.2196/60329

**Keywords:** density; teeth; tooth; dental; dentist; dentists; dentistry; oral; tissue; enamel; dentin; Hounsfield; pathology; pathological; radiology; radiological; image; images; imaging; teeth density; Hounsfield unit; diagnostic imaging

This is the peer-review report for "Dental Tissue Density in Healthy Children Based on Radiological Data: Retrospective Analysis."

## Round 1 Review

## General Comments

This paper [1] has a very good topic selected by the authors. Based on the study of Hounsfield units in cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT), we can evaluate conditions that are abnormal in patients.

## Specific Comments

There is not much to comment on, but try to include good pictures of the CBCT.

## **Conflicts of Interest**

None declared.

### References

1. Reshetnikov A, Shaikhattarova N, Mazurok M, Kasatkina N. Dental tissue density in healthy children based on radiological data: retrospective analysis. JMIRx Med. 2024;5:e56759. [doi: 10.2196/56759]

### Abbreviations

**CBCT:** cone-beam computed tomography

Edited by Edward Meinert; This is a non-peer-reviewed article; submitted 07.05.2024; accepted 07.05.2024; published 20.06.2024

<u>Please cite as:</u> Gorthy S Peer Review of "Dental Tissue Density in Healthy Children Based on Radiological Data: Retrospective Analysis" JMIRx Med 2024;5:e60329 URL: <u>https://med.jmirx.org/2024/1/e60329</u> doi: <u>10.2196/60329</u>

## **Major Comments**

1. The authors did not mention the ideal values of the Hounsfield units for enamel and dentin.

2. The authors did not properly explained why there were no gender differences.

3. The authors did not explain why there were the fewest density values for second primary molars and the most density values for maxillary central incisors. © Shanmukha Gorthy. Originally published in JMIRx Med (<u>https://med.jmirx.org</u>), 20.06.2024. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIRx Med, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on <u>https://med.jmirx.org/</u>, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.