
Peer-Review Report

Peer Review of “Exploring the Reasons for Low Cataract Surgery Uptake Among Patients Detected in a Community Outreach Program in Cameroon: Focused Ethnographic Mixed Methods Study”

Haleh Ayatollahi, PhD

Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Related Articles:

Preprint: <https://preprints.jmir.org/preprint/35044>

Authors' Response to Peer-Review Reports: <https://med.jmirx.org/2022/2/e38899/>

Published Article: <https://med.jmirx.org/2022/2/e35044/>

(*JMIRx Med* 2022;3(2):e38872) doi: [10.2196/38872](https://doi.org/10.2196/38872)

KEYWORDS

ophthalmologic surgical procedures; access to health care; ophthalmology; patient-centered care; ethnography; health knowledge; attitudes; practice

This is a peer-review report submitted for the paper “Exploring the Reasons for Low Cataract Surgery Uptake Among Patients Detected in a Community Outreach Program in Cameroon: Focused Ethnographic Mixed Methods Study.”

Round 1 Review

Major Comments

1. It is better to choose keywords that are MeSH terms.
2. It is better to integrate all sections before *Methods* as an *Introduction* section.
3. How did the researchers develop the interview guide?
4. The trustworthiness of the results and validity and reliability need to be discussed separately for each research method.
5. More details should be added to the *Document Review* section.
6. How many participants took part in the focus groups?
7. The *Results* section needs to be expanded.
8. In the *Discussion* section, the summary of results does not need to be supported by the participant's quotes.
9. The *Discussion* section needs to be revised to be more integrated.
10. Strengths and limitations of the study can be reported at the end of the discussion section.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

Reference

1. Mbwogge M, Nkumbe HE. Exploring the reasons for low cataract surgery uptake among patients detected in a community outreach program in Cameroon: focused ethnographic mixed methods study. *JMIRx Med* 2022;3(2):e35044 [[FREE Full text](#)]
-

11. Research implications can be reported before conclusions.

Round 2 Review

General Comments

I appreciate the authors [1] for their time and efforts to implement our suggestions. It seems that the manuscript has been improved; however, some issues are still remaining.

Specific Comments**Major Comments**

1. The author response letter only includes the authors' responses without mentioning the reviewers' comments. For some comments, they just said “done” and I have no idea what the comments were and what they exactly did. So, a complete response letter needs to be uploaded.
2. The *Discussion* section needs to be integrated to show an integrated *Discussion* for the whole research. In the current format, it seems fragmented.
3. Also, the subsections under the *Conclusions* section need to be moved to the end of the *Discussion* section or be integrated with other existing subheadings in this section.

Edited by E Meinert; this is a non-peer-reviewed article. Submitted 19.04.22; accepted 19.04.22; published 09.06.22.

Please cite as:

Ayatollahi H

Peer Review of "Exploring the Reasons for Low Cataract Surgery Uptake Among Patients Detected in a Community Outreach Program in Cameroon: Focused Ethnographic Mixed Methods Study"

JMIRx Med 2022;3(2):e38872

URL: <https://med.jmirx.org/2022/2/e38872>

doi: [10.2196/38872](https://doi.org/10.2196/38872)

PMID:

©Haleh Ayatollahi. Originally published in JMIRx Med (<https://med.jmirx.org>), 09.06.2022. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIRx Med, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on <https://med.jmirx.org/>, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.