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This is a peer-review report submitted for the paper “A Local Community-Based Social Network for Mental Health and Well-being (Quokka): Exploratory Feasibility Study.”

Round 1 Review

General Comments
I appreciate the opportunity to review this manuscript [1]. I hope that my feedback will help to strengthen the paper.

This paper has a strong theoretical background and empirical data. Overall, the manuscript is well prepared; however, it requires some major and minor corrections.

Specific Comments

Major Comments
1. The value of this work seems to be significant.
2. The Results section should start with a data analysis. If Table 1 and “User Statistics” represent a sample, then the appropriate subheading should be provided.
3. In the Discussion, start your discussion with a short summary of what the main finding(s) of this study was/ware. This section is too structured but at same time is confusing about the criteria of discussing the findings. I would suggest restructuring the way the data is discussed.
4. The subheadings in the Methods section should be related to the subheadings in the Results section.

Minor Comments
1. Minor technical/language corrections are needed (eg, “There is a strong, well-researched connection between social influence, social media, and health and wellness [2-3]”; “Try 3 different types of exercise this week”).
2. Double-check the style of the references, which should be prepared according to journal requirements (eg, references 22, 23, 28).
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