

Peer-Review Report

# Peer Review of “Technologies to Support Assessment of Movement During Video Consultations: Exploratory Study”

Ebrahim Sadeghi-Demneh, MD

Musculoskeletal Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

**Related Articles:**

Preprint: <https://preprints.jmir.org/preprint/30233>

Authors' Response to Peer-Review Reports: <https://med.jmirx.org/2021/3/e32248/>

Published Article: <https://med.jmirx.org/2021/3/e30233/>

(*JMIRx Med* 2021;2(3):e32262) doi: [10.2196/32262](https://doi.org/10.2196/32262)

**KEYWORDS**

tele-rehabilitation; video-consultations; assessment of movement; eHealth; technology; desktop robots; wide-angle webcams; physical health; rehabilitation; remote; assessment; assistive technology; evaluation; framework; webcam; telehealth; robots

*This is a peer-review report submitted for the paper “Technologies to Support Assessment of Movement During Video Consultations: Exploratory Study.”*

## Round 1 Review

**General Comments**

Thank you for taking the time to submit this paper [1]. It is an interesting area for health care practitioners. This was an exploratory trial on the feasibility of video consultation with some off-the-shelf technologies in the United Kingdom. This manuscript is well structured and written, but the external validity of the results is limited. I have included some feedback on the different sections of the manuscript and hope the authors will find these comments helpful.

**Specific Comments****Major Comments**

1. Please consider that movement at least has four basic parameters, including force, range of motion/distance, rate (velocity/acceleration), and endurance (repeats until the mover is fatigued). I think authors could talk more about the shortcomings of their methods for comprehensive assessments of the parametric abilities of movements.
2. To further discuss the limitations of your study, please note that in resource-limited environments and developing countries, these results cannot be generalized.

**Minor Comments**

3. Please correct the spelling of “CINHAL”.
4. Please explain why authors selected a time limit (since 2016) for their literature search.
5. The specification of products/instruments should include details (model, manufacturer company, country).

**Conflicts of Interest**

None declared.

**Reference**

1. Jones RB, Hubble S, Taylor L, Gunn H, Logan A, Rowland T, et al. Technologies to support assessment of movement during video consultations: exploratory study. *JMIRx Med* 2021 Sep;2(3):e30233 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.2196/30233](https://doi.org/10.2196/30233)]

*Edited by E Meinert; this is a non-peer-reviewed article. Submitted 20.07.21; accepted 20.07.21; published 24.09.21.*

*Please cite as:*

Sadeghi-Demneh E

Peer Review of “Technologies to Support Assessment of Movement During Video Consultations: Exploratory Study”

*JMIRx Med* 2021;2(3):e32262

URL: <https://med.jmirx.org/2021/3/e32262>

doi: [10.2196/32262](https://doi.org/10.2196/32262)

PMID:

©Ebrahim Sadeghi-Demneh. Originally published in JMIRx Med (<https://med.jmirx.org>), 24.09.2021. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIRx Med, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on <https://med.jmirx.org/>, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.