

Peer-Review Report

Authors' Response to Peer Reviews of "Evaluating Population Density as a Parameter for Optimizing COVID-19 Testing: Statistical Analysis"

Karim I Budhwani^{1,2}, PhD, DLA; Henna Budhwani², MPH, PhD; Ben Podbielski³, MS, MBA

¹CerFlux, Inc, Birmingham, AL, United States

²University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, United States

³Protective Life Corp, Birmingham, AL, United States

Corresponding Author:

Karim I Budhwani, PhD, DLA

CerFlux Inc

2140 11th Avenue South

Suite 308

Birmingham, AL, 35205

United States

Phone: 1 8555000040

Fax: 1 8555000041

Email: ironman@cerflux.com

Related Articles:

Preprint: <https://preprints.jmir.org/preprint/22195>

Peer-Review Report by Anonymous: <https://med.jmirx.org/2021/1/e27103/>

Peer-Review Report by Ayman A Allam (Reviewer CK): <https://med.jmirx.org/2021/1/e27257/>

Published Article: <https://med.jmirx.org/2021/1/e22195/>

(*JMIRx Med* 2021;2(1):e27258) doi: [10.2196/27258](https://doi.org/10.2196/27258)

KEYWORDS

infectious diseases; testing; per capita; population density; policy; coronavirus; SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19

Authors' response to peer reviews for "Evaluating Population Density as a Parameter for Optimizing COVID-19 Testing: Statistical Analysis."

Response to Round 1 Reviews

Reviewer: Anonymous**General Comments**

Dear anonymous reviewer [1], we would like to begin by conveying to you our deep appreciation for your assistance in refining this short paper [2] so that it is suitable for broader consumption. It is our aspiration that this paper will contribute positively to advancing knowledge in this domain. We have fully addressed all your recommendations and are pleased to submit a revised manuscript. Thank you for your expert assistance in this endeavor.

Specific Comments**Major Comments**

You raise excellent points. We are happy to note that some of these points are a result of automatically transferring our manuscript from the preprint server. We submitted our

manuscript originally to a preprint server with the goal of sharing our analysis and viewpoint in a timely and nonintimidating manner by way of a short report. The title, format, and manuscript text were rapidly copied from the general preprint server edition during the automatic transfer process.

The revised manuscript addresses the following:

1. The title has been updated to "Evaluating Population Density as a Parameter for Optimizing COVID-19 Testing: Statistical Analysis."
2. Absolute terms from the preprint report have been modified.
3. The elevator vs football field "visual" expression was included deliberately in the original report as a means to make the role of density in SARS-CoV-2 viral transmission readily apparent to a broad audience. In order to address your concern, we have removed a reference to this expression in the *Results* section; however, in keeping with the original intent of reaching a broader audience, we would prefer to retain the expression in the *Introduction*.
4. We have included statements on limitations. Thank you for noting this gap.
5. We agree that a cost-effectiveness analysis is warranted after feasibility and acceptability have been established,

but due in part to the word limit for short papers, we are unable to explore these differences. We believe that a paper on the costs and financial consequences of different testing strategies is warranted, potentially in follow-up analyses. Thank you for this recommendation.

6. In response to whether or not adjusted testing strategies based on population density (or similar measures) have been successfully done elsewhere: population density-based testing is novel, having (to our knowledge) only been employed in HIV research through network tracing in urban metropolitan areas. This gap in knowledge in terms of the benefit of population density testing is likely because we have not encountered many agents that are as infectious and persistent as SARS-CoV-2. This short paper is an initial step to illustrate to the scientific community that targeted approaches may be warranted when community spread occurs through close contact that is more likely in tightly packed communities.

Reviewer: AAA

General Comments

Dear reviewer AAA [3], we would like to begin by conveying to you our deep appreciation for your assistance in refining this short paper so that it is suitable for broader consumption. It is our aspiration that this paper will contribute positively to advancing knowledge in this domain. We have fully addressed all your recommendations and are pleased to submit a revised manuscript. Thank you for your expert assistance in this endeavor.

Specific Comments

Minor Comments

1. Your recommended heading change has been made in the revised manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

References

1. Anonymous. Peer Review of "Evaluating Population Density as a Parameter for Optimizing COVID-19 Testing: Statistical Analysis". JMIRx Med 2021;2(1):e27103. [doi: [10.2196/27103](https://doi.org/10.2196/27103)]
2. Budhwani KI, Budhwani H, Podbielski B. Evaluating Population Density as a Parameter for Optimizing COVID-19 Testing: Statistical Analysis. JMIRx Med 2021;2(1):e22195. [doi: [10.2196/22195](https://doi.org/10.2196/22195)]
3. Allam AA. Peer Review of "Evaluating Population Density as a Parameter for Optimizing COVID-19 Testing: Statistical Analysis". JMIRx Med 2021;2(1):e27257. [doi: [10.2196/27257](https://doi.org/10.2196/27257)]

Edited by E Meinert; this is a non-peer-reviewed article. Submitted 18.01.21; accepted 19.01.21; published 03.02.21.

Please cite as:

Budhwani KI, Budhwani H, Podbielski B

Authors' Response to Peer Reviews of "Evaluating Population Density as a Parameter for Optimizing COVID-19 Testing: Statistical Analysis"

JMIRx Med 2021;2(1):e27258

URL: <https://med.jmirx.org/2021/1/e27258/>

doi: [10.2196/27258](https://doi.org/10.2196/27258)

PMID:

©Karim I Budhwani, Henna Budhwani, Ben Podbielski. Originally published in JMIRx Med (<https://med.jmirx.org>), 03.02.2021. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIRx Med, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on <https://med.jmirx.org/>, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.